

# DNS Quality Check Task Force (DNSQC-TF)

An approach for improving the quality of JP DNS -

March 16, 2003 IEPG meeting

Yasuhiro Orange Morishita
Japan Registry Service, Co.,Ltd.(JPRS)
<yasuhiro@jprs.co.jp>





### What is 'DNSQC-TF'?

- DNS Quality Check Task Force
  - Task Force for improving the 'quality' of JP DNS
- Joint work of JPNIC, JPRS, WIDE Project
- Objectives
  - Check DNS misconfigurations under .JP TLD
  - Correct misconfigurations
    - Report to the Internet community
    - Notify parties concerned to the domain





# History

May 2002 Task Force started

Jun 2002 Preliminary check by WIDE

Jul 2002 Preliminary report to IEPG meeting

by Akira Kato (Yokohama IETF)

Nov 2002 Start periodic check by JPRS

Jan 2003 Report to JANOG

12-14 Mar 2003 Most recent check

16 Mar 2003 Report to IEPG





# Check items and scope

#### Items

- Lame delegations
- Inconsistency of NS RRs among parents and children
- Bad SOA/NS/MX records
  - Including CNAMEs, bad characters, etc...
- Using private IP address for NS/MX hosts
- And so on...

#### Scope

- All domains delegated under .JP TLD
  - dom.xx.jp (co.jp, ne.jp, or.jp, ...)
  - dom.jp (ASCII.jp, 日本語.jp [IDN])
  - · Checking the 'zone apex' only





# Check program

- 2400 lines of Perl program
  - Using 'dig' command for sending DNS queries
    - Currently, DNS query sends serially
    - Checking all JP domains (about 400,000) take two days
      - Programs are carried out to 20 parallel on single machine
  - We plan to rewrite the program
    - Support asynchronous DNS queries
    - e.g. using Net::DNS, select()





# Scan report of 19 Jan 2003

```
General Purpose
                                                  go.jp Geo.
                                                  lg.jp domains ASCII
                                                                      Japanese
               co.jp or.jp ne.jp ad.jp gr.jp ac.jp ed.jp {geo}.jp *.jp
        Total
                                                                     qj.(NQI)
         37.9
              30.2 30.9 43.4 37.0 37.4 41.7
                                                 40.1
                                                         39.5
                                                                50.2
                                                                     88.4
error%
badns%
         24.6
               21.6 25.4
                          30.8
                                30.1 26.9
                                            35.7
                                                   31.3
                                                        32.0
                                                                29.9
                                                                      10.3
                                      16.2
LAMEns%
         17.8
                     10.5
                           19.8
                                 11.4
                                                         14.0
                                                                26.7
                                                                      79.0
                11.9
                                            13.7
                                                   18.4
         11.1
                      2.8
                           11.1
                                  4.5
                                       7.7
                                                         3.4
                                                                19.3
                                                                      79.1
nsnone%
                 5.9
                                             1.7
                                                    3.3
         0.5
                 0.4
                      0.6
                           0.7
                                  0.5
                                       0.6
                                            0.8
                                                    2.0
                                                        0.7
                                                                 0.8
                                                                       0.0
cnamens%
cnamemx%
         3.6
                 3.8
                      3.6 3.5
                                 2.2
                                       4.4
                                            3.2
                                                    2.9
                                                        3.8
                                                                3.5
                                                                       0.2
badsoa%
         0.3
                0.3
                      0.2
                          0.3
                                  0.0
                                       0.3
                                            0.3
                                                    0.8
                                                          0.2
                                                                 0.3
                                                                       0.0
private%
          0.0
                 0.0
                      0.0
                            0.0
                                  0.2
                                       0.0
                                             0.1
                                                    0.0
                                                          0.1
                                                                 0.0
                                                                       0.0
error%
        Total percentage of domains which detected any errors.
badns%
       Percentage of NS record among parents and children are inconsistent.
LAMEns% Percentage of LAME delegations.
nsnone% Percentage of irresolvable domains.
cnamens% Percentage of containing CNAME on NS record.
cnamemx% Percentage of containing CNAME on MX record.
badsoa% Percentage of domains which SOA record contains any errors.
private% Percentage of domains which NS or MX record(s) contains private addresses.
```



#### **Pros and Cons**

- Total error ratio: 37.9%
- Two major types of errors
  - Badns: 24.6%
    - Percentage of NS record among parents and children are inconsistent
  - LAMEns: 17.8%
    - Percentage of LAME delegations





# Pros and Cons (cont.)

#### Japanese Domain Name

- Now, Japanese domain names have high error rate
  - Especially, all name servers are LAME
- Considerations
  - Some registrars specify name servers without setup
    - Historical reason
      - Formerly, the .JP domains which do not specify the name server(s) were deleted
    - Some gTLDs must be specified name server(s) when domain name registration
  - When Japanese domain name can be used smoothly, such cases will be decreased





#### Future works / Issues

- Notification
  - Who?, How?
  - Domain holders?, Registrars?
  - At least, we think we need to notify to the registrars
- Continuous checking
  - Monthly
- Providing Web I/F for checking
- Sweeping LAMEs and errors
  - On some RIRs announce 'Sweeping LAMEs'
    - Tracking lame DNS registrations, and after suitable notice without repair, de-lists the DNS servers
  - Should we consider this?
  - It is necessary to update the contract in the case of domain name registration





## Questions?



